

**NSUARB P-887(6)**

**NOVA SCOTIA UTILITY AND REVIEW BOARD**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE 2015 FUEL ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM (FAM)  
PROCEEDING RELATED TO THE ACTUAL ADJUSTMENT (AA) AND BALANCE  
ADJUSTMENT (BA) RECOVERY VALUES**

**DIRECT EVIDENCE OF  
JONATHAN WALLACH  
ON BEHALF OF  
THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE**

Resource Insight, Inc.

**DECEMBER 5, 2014**

1 **Q: Please state your name, occupation, and business address.**

2 A: My name is Jonathan F. Wallach. I am Vice President of Resource Insight, Inc.,  
3 5 Water Street, Arlington, Massachusetts.

4 **Q: Please summarize your professional experience.**

5 A: I have worked as a consultant to the electric-power industry since 1981. From  
6 1981 to 1986, I was a research associate at Energy Systems Research Group. In  
7 1987 and 1988, I was an independent consultant. From 1989 to 1990, I was a  
8 senior analyst at Komanoff Energy Associates. I have been in my current  
9 position at Resource Insight since September of 1990.

10 Over the past thirty years, I have advised clients on a wide range of  
11 economic, planning, and policy issues including: electric-utility restructuring;  
12 wholesale-power market design and operations; transmission pricing and policy;  
13 market valuation of generating assets and purchase contracts; power-  
14 procurement strategies; risk assessment and management; integrated resource  
15 planning; cost allocation and rate design; and energy-efficiency program design  
16 and planning.

17 My resume is attached as Exhibit JFW-1.

18 **Q: Have you testified previously in utility regulatory proceedings?**

19 A: Yes. I have sponsored expert testimony in more than 60 federal, provincial, or  
20 state proceedings in the U.S. and Canada, including in Nova Scotia in NSUARB  
21 P-887(2). Exhibit JFW-1 provides a detailed listing of my previous testimony.

22 **Q: Please summarize your experience with regard to the Fuel Adjustment  
23 Mechanism.**

24 A: I have assisted the Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate in its oversight of the FAM  
25 process since full implementation of the FAM on January 1, 2009. During that  
26 time, I have participated in FAM technical conferences and meetings of the

1 FAM Small Working Group on the Consumer Advocate’s behalf, reviewed and  
2 evaluated all FAM reports and FAM-related filings, reviewed material filed in  
3 the FAM data room located in the offices of Nova Scotia Power Inc. (NSPI or  
4 “the Company”), and assisted the Consumer Advocate in its interventions in  
5 various GRA, BCF and FAM proceedings. Finally, I provided direct evidence in  
6 NSUARB P-887(2) regarding the FAM incentive mechanism.

7 **Q: On whose behalf are you testifying?**

8 A: My testimony is sponsored by the Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate.

9 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?**

10 A: The Consumer Advocate has asked me to comment on the Company’s  
11 derivation of the 2015 AA and BA amounts for the residential class. Specifically,  
12 the Consumer Advocate has asked me to evaluate the evidence filed by NSPI,  
13 along with the Company’s responses to intervenors’ interrogatory requests, to  
14 determine whether the 2015 AA and BA amounts for the residential class were  
15 derived in a reasonable manner.

16 **Q: What do you conclude from your evaluation of the Company’s evidence  
17 and interrogatory responses?**

18 A: Although the procedural schedule did not allow time for a comprehensive  
19 evaluation, it appears that, with one exception, NSPI estimated the 2015 AA and  
20 BA amounts for the residential class in a manner that is reasonably consistent  
21 with the Plan of Administration, the Board’s decision in M06475 regarding the  
22 FAM deferral, and past practice.

23 **Q: What aspect of the Company’s derivation of the 2015 AA and BA amounts  
24 for the residential class appears unreasonable?**

25 A: The Company’s derivation of the allocator used to allocate 2013 and 2014  
26 demand-related purchased power costs among customer classes does not appear

1 to be consistent with past practice. Specifically, for 2012 costs, NSPI derived the  
2 allocator using 3CP class demands from the 2012 GRA Compliance filing.  
3 (Evidence, p. 16, ll. 6-8.) This approach is consistent with past practice in prior  
4 AA/BA filings, where the allocator for a particular year was derived using 3CP  
5 demands from the compliance filing for that test year.

6 In contrast, for 2013 and 2014 costs, NSPI derived the allocator using 3CP  
7 class demands from 2013 load research sample data. NSPI justified this  
8 deviation from past practice as follows:

9 This approach was used due to high variances between actual and test year  
10 usage statistics as caused by weather, customer shutdowns, new customer  
11 additions and growth in various end uses. (Evidence, p. 16, ll. 11-13.)

12 However, NSPI did not offer any evidentiary support for its decision to  
13 rely on the 2013 load research sample data. In particular, NSPI did not provide  
14 any evidence that variances in 2013 were materially larger than in the past or  
15 that the 2013 variances were so large as to justify deviation from past practice.

16 **Q: How does this deviation from past practice affect the 2015 AA and BA**  
17 **amounts for the residential class?**

18 A: This deviation from past practice shifts 2013 and 2014 demand-related  
19 purchased power costs onto the residential class. For example, NSPI's approach  
20 allocates 60.4% of 2014 demand-related purchased power costs to the  
21 residential class. In contrast, the residential class would be allocated only 57.1%  
22 of 2014 demand-related purchased power costs if such costs were allocated  
23 using class 3CP demands from the 2013 GRA Compliance filing for the 2014  
24 test year. (Response to CA IR-1, Attachment 1.) Consequently, NSPI will  
25 recover greater AA and BA amounts from the residential class in 2015 than  
26 would be the case if 2013 and 2014 demand-related purchased power costs were  
27 allocated to classes in a manner consistent with past practice.

1 **Q: What do you recommend with regard to the Company's proposal to rely on**  
2 **2013 load research sample data to allocate 2013 and 2014 demand-related**  
3 **purchased power costs?**

4 A: As part of its reply comments, NSPI should provide a factual basis for its  
5 decision to rely on 2013 load research sample data rather than 2013 and 2014  
6 test-year data. Specifically, NSPI should include as part of its reply evidence:

- 7 • Documentation of the 2013 load research sampling study and derivation of  
8 3CP class demands from sample data.
- 9 • A derivation of the variances between 3CP class demands derived from the  
10 2013 load research sample data and those derived from 2013 and 2014  
11 test-year data.
- 12 • Documentation of any analyses relied on by NSPI as the basis for its  
13 decision to derive 3CP class demands using 2013 load research sample  
14 data rather than 2013 and 2014 test-year data.

15 If NSPI is unable to offer such evidentiary support as part of its reply  
16 filing, the Board should reject the Company's proposal to rely on 2013 load  
17 research sample data and direct NSPI to allocate demand-related purchased  
18 power costs using 3CP class demands derived from demand data 2013 GRA  
19 Compliance filing for the 2013 and 2014 test years.

20 **Q: Does this conclude your direct evidence?**

21 A: Yes.

## Exhibit JFW-1

Qualifications of  
**JONATHAN F. WALLACH**

Resource Insight, Inc.  
5 Water Street  
Arlington, Massachusetts 02476

### SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- 1990–Present* **Vice President, Resource Insight, Inc.** Provides research, technical assistance, and expert testimony on electric- and gas-utility planning, economics, regulation, and restructuring. Designs and assesses resource-planning strategies for regulated and competitive markets, including estimation of market prices and utility-plant stranded investment; negotiates restructuring strategies and implementation plans; assists in procurement of retail power supply.
- 1989–90* **Senior Analyst, Komanoff Energy Associates.** Conducted comprehensive cost-benefit assessments of electric-utility power-supply and demand-side conservation resources, economic and financial analyses of independent power facilities, and analyses of utility-system excess capacity and reliability. Provided expert testimony on statistical analysis of U.S. nuclear plant operating costs and performance. Co-wrote *The Power Analyst*, software developed under contract to the New York Energy Research and Development Authority for screening the economic and financial performance of non-utility power projects.
- 1987–88* **Independent Consultant.** Provided consulting services for Komanoff Energy Associates (New York, New York), Schlissel Engineering Associates (Belmont, Massachusetts), and Energy Systems Research Group (Boston, Massachusetts).
- 1981–86* **Research Associate, Energy Systems Research Group.** Performed analyses of electric utility power supply planning scenarios. Involved in analysis and design of electric and water utility conservation programs. Developed statistical analysis of U.S. nuclear plant operating costs and performance.

### EDUCATION

BA, Political Science with honors and Phi Beta Kappa, University of California, Berkeley, 1980.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Physics and Political Science, 1976–1979.

### PUBLICATIONS

“The Future of Utility Resource Planning: Delivering Energy Efficiency through Distributed Utilities” (with Paul Chernick), *International Association for Energy Economics Seventeenth Annual North American Conference* (460–469). Cleveland, Ohio: USAEE. 1996.

“The Price is Right: Restructuring Gain from Market Valuation of Utility Generating Assets” (with Paul Chernick), *International Association for Energy Economics Seventeenth Annual North American Conference* (345–352). Cleveland, Ohio: USAEE. 1996.

“The Future of Utility Resource Planning: Delivering Energy Efficiency through Distribution Utilities” (with Paul Chernick), *1996 Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings* 7(7.47–7.55). Washington: American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, 1996.

“Retrofit Economics 201: Correcting Common Errors in Demand-Side-Management Cost-Benefit Analysis” (with John Plunkett and Rachael Brailove). In proceedings of “Energy Modeling: Adapting to the New Competitive Operating Environment,” conference sponsored by the Institute for Gas Technology in Atlanta in April of 1995. Des Plaines, Ill.: IGT, 1995.

“The Transfer Loss is All Transfer, No Loss” (with Paul Chernick), *Electricity Journal* 6:6 (July, 1993).

“Benefit-Cost Ratios Ignore Interclass Equity” (with Paul Chernick et al.), *DSM Quarterly*, Spring 1992.

“Consider Plant Heat Rate Fluctuations,” *Independent Energy*, July/August 1991.

“Demand-Side Bidding: A Viable Least-Cost Resource Strategy” (with Paul Chernick and John Plunkett), *Proceedings from the NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference*, September 1990.

“New Tools on the Block: Evaluating Non-Utility Supply Opportunities With *The Power Analyst*,” (with John Plunkett), *Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Micro-computer Applications in Energy*, April 1990.

## REPORTS

“Economic Benefits from Early Retirement of Reid Gardner” (with Paul Chernick) prepared for and filed by the Sierra Club in PUC of Nevada Docket No. 11-08019.

“Green Resource Portfolios: Development, Integration, and Evaluation” (with Paul Chernick and Richard Mazzini) report to the Green Energy Coalition presented as evidence in Ontario EB 2007-0707.

“Risk Analysis of Procurement Strategies for Residential Standard Offer Service” (with Paul Chernick, David White, and Rick Hornby) report to Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. 2008. Baltimore: Maryland Office of People’s Counsel.

“Integrated Portfolio Management in a Restructured Supply Market” (with Paul Chernick, William Steinhurst, Tim Woolf, Anna Sommers, and Kenji Takahashi). 2006. Columbus, Ohio: Office of the Ohio Consumers’ Counsel.

“First Year of SOS Procurement.” 2004. Prepared for the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel.

“Energy Plan for the City of New York” (with Paul Chernick, Susan Geller, Brian Tracey, Adam Auster, and Peter Lanzalotta). 2003. New York: New York City Economic Development Corporation.

“Peak-Shaving–Demand-Response Analysis: Load Shifting by Residential Customers” (with Brian Tracey). 2003. Barnstable, Mass.: Cape Light Compact.

“Electricity Market Design: Incentives for Efficient Bidding; Opportunities for Gaming.” 2002. Silver Spring, Maryland: National Association of State Consumer Advocates.

“Best Practices in Market Monitoring: A Survey of Current ISO Activities and Recommendations for Effective Market Monitoring and Mitigation in Wholesale Electricity Markets” (with Paul Peterson, Bruce Biewald, Lucy Johnston, and Etienne Gonin). 2001. Prepared for the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel, Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate, Delaware Division of the Public Advocate, New Jersey Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, Office of the People’s Counsel of the District of Columbia.

“Comments Regarding Retail Electricity Competition.” 2001. Filed by the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel in U.S. FTC Docket No. V010003.

“Final Comments of the City of New York on Con Edison’s Generation Divestiture Plans and Petition.” 1998. Filed by the City of New York in PSC Case No. 96-E-0897.

“Response Comments of the City of New York on Vertical Market Power.” 1998. Filed by the City of New York in PSC Case Nos. 96-E-0900, 96-E-0098, 96-E-0099, 96-E-0891, 96-E-0897, 96-E-0909, and 96-E-0898.

“Preliminary Comments of the City of New York on Con Edison’s Generation Divestiture Plan and Petition.” 1998. Filed by the City of New York in PSC Case No. 96-E-0897.

“Maryland Office of People’s Counsel’s Comments in Response to the Applicants’ June 5, 1998 Letter.” 1998. Filed by the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel in PSC Docket No. EC97-46-000.

“Economic Feasibility Analysis and Preliminary Business Plan for a Pennsylvania Consumer’s Energy Cooperative” (with John Plunkett et al.). 1997. 3 vols. Philadelphia, Penn.: Energy Coordinating Agency of Philadelphia.

“Good Money After Bad” (with Charles Komanoff and Rachel Brailove). 1997. White Plains, N.Y.: Pace University School of Law Center for Environmental Studies.

“Maryland Office of People’s Counsel’s Comments on Staff Restructuring Report: Case No. 8738.” 1997. Filed by the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel in PSC Case No. 8738.

“Protest and Request for Hearing of Maryland Office of People’s Counsel.” 1997. Filed by the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel in PSC Docket Nos. EC97-46-000, ER97-4050-000, and ER97-4051-000.

“Restructuring the Electric Utilities of Maryland: Protecting and Advancing Consumer Interests” (with Paul Chernick, Susan Geller, John Plunkett, Roger Colton, Peter Bradford,



Bruce Biewald, and David Wise). 1997. Baltimore, Maryland: Maryland Office of People's Counsel.

"Comments of the New Hampshire Office of Consumer Advocate on Restructuring New Hampshire's Electric-Utility Industry" (with Bruce Biewald and Paul Chernick). 1996. Concord, N.H.: NH OCA.

"Estimation of Market Value, Stranded Investment, and Restructuring Gains for Major Massachusetts Utilities" (with Paul Chernick, Susan Geller, Rachel Brailove, and Adam Auster). 1996. On behalf of the Massachusetts Attorney General (Boston).

"Report on Entergy's 1995 Integrated Resource Plan." 1996. On behalf of the Alliance for Affordable Energy (New Orleans).

"Preliminary Review of Entergy's 1995 Integrated Resource Plan." 1995. On behalf of the Alliance for Affordable Energy (New Orleans).

"Comments on NOPSI and LP&L's Motion to Modify Certain DSM Programs." 1995. On behalf of the Alliance for Affordable Energy (New Orleans).

"Demand-Side Management Technical Market Potential Progress Report." 1993. On behalf of the Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation (Tallahassee)

"Technical Information." 1993. Appendix to "Energy Efficiency Down to Details: A Response to the Director General of Electricity Supply's Request for Comments on Energy Efficiency Performance Standards" (UK). On behalf of the Foundation for International Environmental Law and Development and the Conservation Law Foundation (Boston).

"Integrating Demand Management into Utility Resource Planning: An Overview." 1993. Vol. 1 of "From Here to Efficiency: Securing Demand-Management Resources" (with Paul Chernick and John Plunkett). Harrisburg, Pa.: Pennsylvania Energy Office

"Making Efficient Markets." 1993. Vol. 2 of "From Here to Efficiency: Securing Demand-Management Resources" (with Paul Chernick and John Plunkett). Harrisburg, Pa.: Pennsylvania Energy Office.

"Analysis Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendations." 1992. Vol. 1 of "Correcting the Imbalance of Power: Report on Integrated Resource Planning for Ontario Hydro" (with Paul Chernick and John Plunkett).

"Demand-Management Programs: Targets and Strategies." 1992. Vol. 1 of "Building Ontario Hydro's Conservation Power Plant" (with John Plunkett, James Peters, and Blair Hamilton).

"Review of the Elizabethtown Gas Company's 1992 DSM Plan and the Demand-Side Management Rules" (with Paul Chernick, John Plunkett, James Peters, Susan Geller, Blair Hamilton, and Andrew Shapiro). 1992. Report to the New Jersey Department of Public Advocate.

"Comments of Public Interest Intervenors on the 1993-1994 Annual and Long-Range Demand-Side Management and Integrated Resource Plans of New York Electric Utilities" (with Ken Keating et al.) 1992.

“Review of Jersey Central Power & Light’s 1992 DSM Plan and the Demand-Side Management Rules” (with Paul Chernick et al.). 1992. Report to the New Jersey Department of Public Advocate.

“Review of Rockland Electric Company’s 1992 DSM Plan and the Demand-Side Management Rules” (with Paul Chernick et al.). 1992.

“Initial Review of Ontario Hydro’s Demand-Supply Plan Update” (with David Argue et al.). 1992.

“Comments on the Utility Responses to Commission’s November 27, 1990 Order and Proposed Revisions to the 1991–1992 Annual and Long Range Demand Side Management Plans” (with John Plunkett et al.). 1991.

“Comments on the 1991–1992 Annual and Long Range Demand-Side-Management Plans of the Major Electric Utilities” (with John Plunkett et al.). Filed in NY PSC Case No. 28223 in re New York utilities’ DSM plans. 1990.

“Profitability Assessment of Packaged Cogeneration Systems in the New York City Area.” 1989. Principal investigator.

“Statistical Analysis of U.S. Nuclear Plant Capacity Factors, Operation and Maintenance Costs, and Capital Additions.” 1989.

“The Economics of Completing and Operating the Vogtle Generating Facility.” 1985. ESRG Study No. 85-51A.

“Generating Plant Operating Performance Standards Report No. 2: Review of Nuclear Plant Capacity Factor Performance and Projections for the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Facility.” 1985. ESRG Study No. 85-22/2.

“Cost-Benefit Analysis of the Cancellation of Commonwealth Edison Company’s Braidwood Nuclear Generating Station.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 83-87.

“The Economics of Seabrook 1 from the Perspective of the Three Maine Co-owners.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 84-38.

“An Evaluation of the Testimony and Exhibit (RCB-2) of Dr. Robert C. Bushnell Concerning the Capital Cost of Fermi 2.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 84-30.

“Electric Rate Consequences of Cancellation of the Midland Nuclear Power Plant.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 83-81.

“Power Planning in Kentucky: Assessing Issues and Choices—Project Summary Report to the Public Service Commission.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 83-51.

“Electric Rate Consequences of Retiring the Robinson 2 Nuclear Plant.” 1984. ESRG Study No. 83-10.

“Power Planning in Kentucky: Assessing Issues and Choices—Conservation as a Planning Option.” 1983. ESRG Study No. 83-51/TR III.

“Electricity and Gas Savings from Expanded Public Service Electric and Gas Company Conservation Programs.” 1983. ESRG Study No. 82-43/2.

“Long Island Without the Shoreham Power Plant: Electricity Cost and System Planning Consequences; Summary of Findings.” 1983. ESRG Study No. 83-14S.

“Long Island Without the Shoreham Power Plant: Electricity Cost and System Planning Consequences; Technical Report B—Shoreham Operations and Costs.” 1983. ESRG Study No. 83-14B.

“Customer Programs to Moderate Demand Growth on the Arizona Public Service Company System: Identifying Additional Cost-Effective Program Options.” 1982. ESRG Study No. 82-14C.

“The Economics of Alternative Space and Water Heating Systems in New Construction in the Jersey Central Power and Light Service Area, A Report to the Public Advocate.” 1982. ESRG Study No. 82-31.

“Review of the Kentucky-American Water Company Capacity Expansion Program, A Report to the Kentucky Public Service Commission.” 1982. ESRG Study No. 82-45.

“Long Range Forecast of Sierra Pacific Power Company Electric Energy Requirements and Peak Demands, A Report to the Public Service Commission of Nevada.” 1982. ESRG Study No. 81-42B.

“Utility Promotion of Residential Customer Conservation, A Report to Massachusetts Public Interest Research Group.” 1981. ESRG Study No. 81-47

## **PRESENTATIONS**

“Office of People’s Counsel Case No. 9117” (with William Fields). Presentation to the Maryland Public Utilities Commission in Case No. 9117, December 2008.

“Electricity Market Design: Incentives for Efficient Bidding, Opportunities for Gaming.” NASUCA Northeast Market Seminar, Albany, N.Y., February 2001.

“Direct Access Implementation: The California Experience.” Presentation to the Maryland Restructuring Technical Implementation Group on behalf of the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. June 1998.

“Reflecting Market Expectations in Estimates of Stranded Costs,” speaker, and workshop moderator of “Effectively Valuing Assets and Calculating Stranded Costs.” Conference sponsored by International Business Communications, Washington, D.C., June 1997.

## EXPERT TESTIMONY

- 1989 **Mass. DPU** on behalf of the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy Resources. Docket No. 89-100. Joint testimony with Paul Chernick relating to statistical analysis of U.S. nuclear-plant capacity factors, operation and maintenance costs, and capital additions; and to projections of capacity factor, O&M, and capital additions for the Pilgrim nuclear plant.
- 1994 **NY PSC** on behalf of the Pace Energy Project, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Citizen's Advisory Panel. Case No. 93-E-1123. Joint testimony with John Plunkett critiques proposed modifications to Long Island Lighting Company's DSM programs from the perspective of least-cost-planning principles.
- 1994 **Vt. PSB** on behalf of the Vermont Department of Public Service. Docket No. 5270-CV-1 and 5270-CV-3. Testimony and rebuttal testimony discusses rate and bill effects from DSM spending and sponsors load shapes for measure- and program-screening analyses.
- 1996 **New Orleans City Council** on behalf of the Alliance for Affordable Energy. Docket Nos. UD-92-2A, UD-92-2B, and UD-95-1. Rates, charges, and integrated resource planning for Louisiana Power & Lights and New Orleans Public Service, Inc.
- 1996 **New Orleans City Council** Docket Nos. UD-92-2A, UD-92-2B, and UD-95-1. Rates, charges, and integrated resource planning for Louisiana Power & Lights and New Orleans Public Service, Inc.; Alliance for Affordable Energy. April, 1996.
- Prudence of utilities' IRP decisions; costs of utilities' failure to follow City Council directives; possible cost disallowances and penalties; survey of penalties for similar failures in other jurisdictions.
- 1998 **Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy** Docket No. 97-111, Commonwealth Energy proposed restructuring; Cape Cod Light Compact. Joint testimony with Paul Chernick, January, 1998.
- Critique of proposed restructuring plan filed to satisfy requirements of the electric-utility restructuring act of 1997. Failure of the plan to foster competition and promote the public interest.
- Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy** Docket No. 97-120, Western Massachusetts Electric Company proposed restructuring; Massachusetts Attorney General. Joint testimony with Paul Chernick, October, 1998. Joint surrebuttal with Paul Chernick, January, 1999.
- Market value of the three Millstone nuclear units under varying assumptions of plant performance and market prices. Independent forecast of wholesale market prices. Value of Pilgrim and TMI-1 asset sales.

- 1999 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 8795, Delmarva Power & Light comprehensive restructuring agreement, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. July 1999.
- Support of proposed comprehensive restructuring settlement agreement
- Maryland PSC** Case Nos. 8794 and 8808, Baltimore Gas & Electric Company comprehensive restructuring agreement, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. Initial Testimony July 1999; Reply Testimony August 1999; Surrebuttal Testimony August 1999.
- Support of proposed comprehensive restructuring settlement agreement
- Maryland PSC** Case No. 8797, comprehensive restructuring agreement for Potomac Edison Company, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. October 1999.
- Support of proposed comprehensive restructuring settlement agreement
- Connecticut DPUC** Docket No. 99-03-35, United Illuminating standard offer, Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. November 1999.
- Reasonableness of proposed revisions to standard-offer-supply energy costs. Implications of revisions for other elements of proposed settlement.
- 2000 **U.S. FERC** Docket No. RT01-02-000, Order No. 2000 compliance filing, Joint Consumer Advocates intervenors. Affidavit, November 2000.
- Evaluation of innovative rate proposal by PJM transmission owners.
- 2001 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 8852, Charges for electricity-supplier services for Potomac Electric Power Company, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. March 2001.
- Reasonableness of proposed fees for electricity-supplier services.
- Maryland PSC** Case No. 8890, Merger of Potomac Electric Power Company and Delmarva Power and Light Company, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. September 2001; surrebuttal, October 2001. In support of settlement: Supplemental, December 2001; rejoinder, January 2002.
- Costs and benefits to ratepayers. Assessment of public interest.
- Maryland PSC** Case No. 8796, Potomac Electric Power Company stranded costs and rates, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. December 2001; surrebuttal, February 2002.
- Allocation of benefits from sale of generation assets and power-purchase contracts.
- 2002 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 8908, Maryland electric utilities’ standard offer and supply procurement, Maryland Office of People’s Counsel. Direct, November 2002; Rebuttal December 2002.

Benefits of proposed settlement to ratepayers. Standard-offer service. Procurement of supply.

2003 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 8980, adequacy of capacity in restructured electricity markets; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, December 2003; Reply December 2003.

Purpose of capacity-adequacy requirements. PJM capacity rules and practices. Implications of various restructuring proposals for system reliability.

2004 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 8995, Potomac Electric Power Company recovery of generation-related uncollectibles; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, March 2004; Supplemental March 2004, Surrebuttal April 2004.

Calculation and allocation of costs. Effect on administrative charge pursuant to settlement.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 8994, Delmarva Power & Light recovery of generation-related uncollectibles; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, March 2004; Supplemental April 2004.

Calculation and allocation of costs. Effect on administrative charge pursuant to settlement.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 8985, Southern Maryland Electric Coop standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, July 2004.

Reasonableness and risks of resource-procurement plan.

2005 **FERC** Docket No. ER05-428-000, revisions to ICAP demand curves; City of New York. Statement, March 2005.

Net-revenue offset to cost of new capacity. Winter-summer adjustment factor. Market power and in-City ICAP price trends.

**FERC** Docket No. PL05-7-000, capacity markets in PJM; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Statement, June 2005.

Inefficiencies and risks associated with use of administratively determined demand curve. Incompatibility of four-year procurement plan with Maryland standard-offer service.

**FERC** Dockets Nos. ER05-1410-000 & EL05-148-000, proposed market-clearing mechanism for capacity markets in PJM; Coalition of Consumers for Reliability, Affidavit October 2005, Supplemental Affidavit October 2006.

Inefficiencies and risks associated with use of administratively determined demand curve. Effect of proposed reliability-pricing model on capacity costs.

2006 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 9052, Baltimore Gas & Electric rates and market-transition plan; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, February 2006.

Transition to market-based residential rates. Price volatility, bill complexity, and cost-deferral mechanisms.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9056, default service for commercial and industrial customers; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, April 2006.

Assessment of proposals to modify default service for commercial and industrial customers.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9054, merger of Constellation Energy Group and FPL Group; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, June 2006.

Assessment of effects and risks of proposed merger on ratepayers.

**Illinois Commerce Commission** Docket No. 06-0411, Commonwealth Edison Company residential rate plan; Citizens Utility Board, Cook County State's Attorney's Office, and City of Chicago, Direct July 2006, Reply August 2006.

Transition to market-based rates. Securitization of power costs. Rate of return on deferred assets.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9064, default service for residential and small commercial customers; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Rebuttal Testimony, September 2006.

Procurement of standard-offer power. Structure and format of bidding. Risk and cost recovery.

**FERC** Dockets Nos. ER05-1410-000 & EL05-148-000, proposed market-clearing mechanism for capacity markets in PJM; Maryland Office of the People's Counsel, Supplemental Affidavit October 2006.

Distorting effects of proposed reliability-pricing model on clearing prices. Economically efficient alternative treatment.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9063, optimal structure of electric industry; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct Testimony, October 2006; Rebuttal November 2006; surrebuttal November 2006.

Procurement of standard-offer power. Risk and gas-price volatility, and their effect on prices and market performance. Alternative procurement strategies.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9073, stranded costs from electric-industry restructuring; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct Testimony, December 2006.

Review of estimates of stranded costs for Baltimore Gas & Electric.

2007 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 9091, rate-stabilization and market-transition plan for the Potomac Edison Company; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct Testimony, March 2007.

Rate-stabilization plan.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9092, rates and rate mechanisms for the Potomac Electric Power Company; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct Testimony, March 2007.

Cost allocation and rate design. Revenue decoupling mechanism.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9093, rates and rate mechanisms for Delmarva Power & Light; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct Testimony, March 2007.

Cost allocation and rate design. Revenue decoupling mechanism.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9099, rate-stabilization plan for Baltimore Gas & Electric; Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Direct, March 2007; Surrebuttal April 2007.

Review of standard-offer-service-procurement plan. Rate stabilization plan.

**Connecticut DPUC** Docket No. 07-04-24, review of capacity contracts under Energy Independence Act; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, Joint Direct Testimony June 2007.

Assessment of proposed capacity contracts.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9117, residential and small-commercial standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct and Reply, September 2007; Supplemental Reply, November 2007; Additional Reply, December 2007; presentation, December 2008.

Benefits of long-term planning and procurement. Proposed aggregation of customers.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9117, Phase II, residential and small-commercial standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, October 2007.

Energy efficiency as part of standard-offer-service planning and procurement. Procurement of generation or long-term contracts to meet reliability needs.

2008 **Connecticut DPUC 08-01-01**, peaking generation projects; Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct (with Paul Chernick), April 2008.

Assessment of proposed peaking projects. Valuation of peaking capacity. Modeling of energy margin, forward reserves, other project benefits.

**Ontario EB-2007-0707**, Ontario Power Authority integrated system plan; Green Energy Coalition, Penimba Institute, and Ontario Sustainable Energy Association. Evidence (with Paul Chernick and Richard Mazzini), August 2008.

Critique of integrated system plan. Resource cost and characteristics; finance cost. Development of least-cost green-energy portfolio.



2009 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 9192, Delmarva Power & Lights rates; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Direct, August 2009; Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, September 2009.

Cost allocation and rate design.

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 6630-CE-302, Glacier Hills Wind Park certificate; Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct and Surrebuttal, October 2009.

Reasonableness of proposed wind facility.

**PUC of Ohio** Case No 09-906-EL-SSO, standard-service-offer bidding for three Ohio electric companies; Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. Direct, December 2009.

Design of auctions for SSO power supply. Implications of migration of First-Energy from MISO to PJM.

2010 **PUC of Ohio** Case No 10-388-EL-SSO, standard-service offer for three Ohio electric companies; Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. Direct, July 2010.

Design of auctions for SSO power supply.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9232, Potomac Electric Power Co. administrative charge for standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Reply, Rebuttal, August 2010.

Proposed rates for components of the Administrative Charge for residential standard-offer service.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9226, Delmarva Power & Light administrative charge for standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Reply, Rebuttal, August 2010.

Proposed rates for components of the Administrative Charge for residential standard-offer service.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9221, Baltimore Gas & Electric cost recovery; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Reply, August 2010; Rebuttal, September 2010; Surrebuttal, November 2010

Proposed rates for components of the Administrative Charge for residential standard-offer service.

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 3270-UR-117, Madison Gas & Electric gas and electric rates; Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, September 2010.

Standby rate design. Treatment of uneconomic dispatch costs.

**Nova Scotia UARB** Case No. NSUARB P-887(2), fuel-adjustment mechanism; Nova Scotia Consumer Advocate. Direct, September 2010.

Effectiveness of fuel-adjustment incentive mechanism.

**Manitoba PUB**, Manitoba Hydro rates; Resource Conservation Manitoba and Time to Respect Earth's Ecosystems. Direct, December 2010.

Assessment of drought-related financial risk.

2011 **Mass. DPU 10-170**, NStar–Northeast Utilities merger; Cape Light Compact. Direct, May 2011.

Merger and competitive markets. Competitively neutral recovery of utility investments in new generation.

**Mass. DPU 11-5, -6, -7**, NStar wind contracts; Cape Light Compact. Direct, May 2011.

Assessment of utility proposal for recovery of contract costs.

**Wisc. PSC** Docket No. 4220-UR-117, electric and gas rates of Northern States Power: Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, Rebuttals (2) October 2011; Surrebuttal, Oral Sur-Surrebutal November 2011;

Cost allocation and rate design. Allocation of DOE settlement payment.

**Wisc. PSC** Docket No. 6680-FR-104, fuel-cost-related rate adjustments for Wisconsin Power and Light Company: Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, October 2011; Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, November 2011

Costs to comply with Cross State Air Pollution Rule.

2012 **Maryland PSC** Case No. 9149, Maryland IOUs' development of RFPs for new generation; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. March 2012.

Failure of demand-response provider to perform per contract. Estimation of cost to ratepayers.

**PUCO** Cases Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, 11-350-EL-AAM, transition to competitive markets for Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company; Ohio Consumers' Counsel. May 2012

Structure of auctions, credits, and capacity pricing as part of transition to competitive electricity markets.

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 3270-UR-118, Madison Gas & Electric rates, Wisconsin Citizens Utility Board. Direct, August 2012; Rebuttal, September 2012.

Cost allocation and rate design (electric).

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 05-UR-106, We Energies rates, Wisconsin Citizens Utility Board. Direct, Rebuttal, September 2012.

Cost allocation and rate design (electric).

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 4220-UR-118, Northern States Power rates, Wisconsin Citizens Utility Board. Direct, Rebuttal, October 2012; Surrebuttal, November 2012.

Recovery of environmental remediation costs at a manufactured gas plant. Cost allocation and rate design.

2013 **Corporation Commission of Oklahoma** Cause No. PUD 201200054, Public Service Company of Oklahoma environmental compliance and cost recovery, Sierra Club. Direct, January 2013; rebuttal, February 2013; surrebuttal, March 2013.

Economic evaluation of alternative environmental-compliance plans. Effects of energy efficiency and renewable resources on cost and risk.

**Maryland PSC** Case No. 9324, Starion Energy marketing, Maryland Office of People's Counsel. September 2013.

Estimation of retail costs of electricity supply.

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 6690-UR-122, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation gas and electric rates, Wisconsin Citizens Utility Board. Direct, August 2013; Rebuttal, Surrebuttal September 2013.

Cost allocation and rate design; rate-stabilization mechanism.

**Wisconsin PSC** Docket No. 4220-UR-119, Northern States Power Company gas and electric rates, Wisconsin Citizens Utility Board. Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, October 2013.

Cost allocation and rate design.

**Michigan PSC** Case No. U-17429, Consumers Energy Company approval for new gas plant, Natural Resources Defense Council. Corrected Direct, October 2013.

Need for new capacity. Economic assessment of alternative resource options.

2014 **Maryland PSC** Cases Nos. 9226 & 9232, administrative charge for standard-offer service; Maryland Office of People's Counsel. Reply, April 2014; surrebuttal, May 2014.

Estimation of retail costs of power supply for residential standard-offer service.

**Conn. PURA** Docket No. 13-07-18, rules for retail electricity markets; Office of Consumer Counsel. Direct, April 2014.

Proposed rates for components of the administrative charge for residential standard-offer service.

**PUC Ohio** Cases Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO, 13-2386-EL-AAM; Ohio Power Company standard-offer service; Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel. Direct, May 2014.

Allocation of distribution-rider costs.

**Wisc. PSC** Docket No. 6690-UR-123, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation electric and gas rates, Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, Rebuttal, August 2014; Surrebuttal September 2014.

Cost allocation and rate design.

**Wisc. PSC** Docket No. 05-UR-107, We Energy biennial review of electric and gas costs and rates, Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, August 2014; Rebuttal, Surrebuttal September 2014.

Cost allocation and rate design.

**Wisc. PSC** Docket No. 3270-UR-120, Madison Gas and Electric Co. electric and gas rates, Citizens Utility Board of Wisconsin. Direct, Rebuttal, September 2014.

Cost allocation and rate design.